arXiv:1405.1690v1 [math.SP] 7 May 2014

Some estimates for the norm of the self-commutator

Gevorgyan Levon

May 8, 2014

Key words: Self-commutator, Numerical range
AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 47A12,47B47 {Primary}, 52A10, 52A40 {Secondary}

Abstract

Different estimates for the norm of the self-commutator of a Hilbert space operator are proposed. Particularly, this norm is bounded from above by twice of the area of the numerical range of the operator. An isoperimetric-type inequality is proved.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let A be a linear bounded operator, acting in a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle)$. The difference $A^*A - AA^* = C(A)$ is said to be the self-commutator of the operator A. The study of self-commutators was initiated by Halmos in Halmos [1952]. The well-known and important class of normal operators is characterized by the equality $AA^* = A^*A$, so the norm of $C(A)$ shows "how far" is the operator from being normal. If $C(A)$ is semi-definite, the operator A is said Putnam [1967] to be semi-normal, particularly, if $C(A) \geq \mathbf{0}$, then A is hyponormal. According to Putnam's inequality for any semi-normal operator

$$\|C(A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} mes_2(SpA),$$

where SpA is the spectrum of A and mes_2 means the plane Lebesgue measure.

In Gevorgyan [2010] is proved that for any operator A the inequality

$$\|C(A)\| \leq \|A\|^2. \tag{1}$$

is satisfied and an operator A is said to have large self-commutator if $\|C(A)\| = \|A\|^2$. As $C(A - \lambda I) = C(A)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, inequality (1) may be sharpened

$$\|C(A)\| \leq m^2(A),$$

where

$$m(A) = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda I\|.$$

In the sequel we propose less obvious estimates for the norm of the self-commutator of an operator. Particularly, $C(A)$ will be bounded from above by the twice of the area of the numerical range of $W(A)$ of A. For operators with elliptical numerical range the factor 2 may be replced by $4/\pi$.

2 Main results

Proposition 1. Let $N(A)$ the set of all normal operators, commuting with A. Then

$$\|C(A)\| \leqslant \inf_{M \in N(A)} \|A + M\|^2. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let $M \in N(A)$. Then it is easy to see that $C(A) = C(A + M)$. Indeed, according to the Putnam-Fuglede theorem (Halmos [1982], Problem 152) the equality $AM = MA$ implies $A^*M = MA^*, AM^* = M^*A$, hence $(A^* + M^*)(A + M) - (A + M)(A^* + M^*) = A^*A - AA^*$. The proof may be completed recalling formula (1).

Remark. From this Proposition follows that for any hyponormal operator A the operator$A + M, M \in N(A)$ is also hyponormal, so in the Putnam inequalities at the right-hand side one may put the area of the spectrum of any operator $A + M$, which may have smaller spectrum.

An operator A is said to be irreducible, if the only invariant under A subspaces are two trivial subspaces $\{\theta\}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, or which is the same, the only orthogonal projections, commuting with A are O and I.

Example 1. The operator of the simple unilateral shift U is irreducible (Halmos [1982], Problem 116, Corollary),

Proposition 2. Let A be irreducible. Then

$$\inf_{M\in N(A)} \|A+M\| = \inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \|A-\lambda I\|. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $M \in N(A)$. As the spectral projections $\{P\}$ of M commute with any operator, commuting with M, the spectral projection P commutes with A, hence $P=0$ or $P=I$, implying $M=\lambda I,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}$.

The next example shows that the left hand side in (3) may be strictly less than other side.

Example 2. Let

$$L=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$K=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Evidently

$$KL=LK,\ K^*=K, \|L-K\|=1, \|L-\lambda I\| \geqslant \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

For the norm of $C(A)$ there are some estimates from below. In finite dimensional space it is shown (Fong [1986], Proposition 2) that there exists a matrix B such that $C(B)=C(A)$ and $\|C(A)\| \geqslant \|B\|^2/2$.

The matrix B is constructed by the following procedure. Let A be

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \xi_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \xi_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \xi_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\|A\| = \max\limits_k |\xi_k|$. The operator $C(A)$ has the following form

$$diag\left(|\xi_1|^2, |\xi_2|^2-|\xi_1|^2, \cdots, |\xi_{k+1}|^2-|\xi_k|^2, \cdots, -|\xi_{n-1}|^2\right).$$

Therefore the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_1^n$ of $C(A)$ satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k = |\xi_m|^2 \geqslant 0,\ (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1). \tag{4}$$

We have

$$\|C(A)\| = \max_k |\lambda_k|.$$

For any set of real numbers $\{\lambda_k\}_1^n$ having zero sum it is possible to rearrange them such that condition (4) is satisfied and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \leqslant 2 \max_k |\lambda_k|. \tag{5}$$

Finally we get

$$\|C(A)\| \geqslant \|A\|^2 / 2.$$

The same may be repeated in some infinite dimensional spaces.

Let $A^2(D)$ be the Bergman space of analytic in the unit circle functions square integrable with respect to the plane Lebesgue measure in D. The set of monomials $\left\{e_n = \sqrt{n+1} z^n\right\}_0^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of $A^2(D)$. Denote by M the operator of multiplication by the independent variable

$$(Mf)(z) = zf(z).$$

We have

$$(M^* f)(z) = z^{-2} \left(zf(z) - \int_0^z f(\xi)\, d\xi \right).$$

It is easy to see that M is the operator of the weighted shift $Me_n = w_n e_{n+1}$ with the weight sequence $w_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}$. The operator $C(M)$ is defined by the formula

$$((M^*M - MM^*) f)(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \int_0^z (z - \xi) f(\xi)\, d\xi.$$

The monomials $\{z^n\}_0^\infty$ are the eigenfunctions of this operator, corresponding to the eigenvalues $\left\{\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}\right\}_0^\infty$. One has $\|M\| = 1, \|C(M)\| = 1/2$.

Note that

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} < 1 = 2 \sup \lambda_n,$$

hence inequalities (4), (5) for this operator are satisfied.

Now we pass to the general case. Denote $A_t = Ae^{it}, t \in [0; 2\pi)$ and $H_t = \operatorname{Re} A_t, J_t = \operatorname{Im} A_t, H = H_0, J = J_0$. Note that $H_{t+\pi/2} = -J_t$, implying

$$\max_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \|J_t\| = \max_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \|H_t\| = w(A),$$

where $w(A)$ is the numerical radius of the operator A.

Denote

$$\alpha_2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle H_t x, x\rangle, \alpha_1 = \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle H_t x, x\rangle,$$

$$\beta_2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle J_t x, x\rangle, \beta_1 = \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle J_t x, x\rangle.$$

It fact $b_x(t) = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$ ($b_y(t) = \beta_2 - \beta_1$) is the width of $W(A_t)$ in the direction of Ox-axis (Oy-axis).

Remark. As the closure of the numerical range of a self-adjoint operator coincides with the convex hull of its spectrum, the infimum and the supremum over the numerical ranges may be replaced by corresponding bounds over the spectrum of respective operators.

Proposition 3. For any Hilbert space operator A the following inequality is satisfied

$$\|C(A)\| \leqslant \min_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \{b_x(t)\, b_y(t)\}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Easy to check that the self-commutator is rotation-invariant, hence $\|C(A)\| = 2\|J_t H_t - H_t J\|$.

According to Wang and Du [2008], Corollary 7

$$\|J_t H_t - H_t J_t\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} b_x(t)\, b_y(t)$$

and from arbitrariness of t follows (6).

In Wang and Du [2008], Corollary 11 the inequality

$$\|A^*A - AA^*\| \leqslant 4 \inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \|H - \lambda I\| \cdot \inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \|J - \lambda I\| \tag{7}$$

is proved.

The following example shows that (6) is really sharper than (7).

Example 3. Let

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1+i \\ 0 & -1-i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$H = J^* = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+i}{2} \\ \frac{1-i}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\|A\| = \sqrt{5}$,

$$\inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \|H - \lambda I\| = \inf_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \|J - \lambda I\| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

and $\min\limits_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \{b_x(t)\, b_y(t)\} = \sqrt{5}$.

Combining Proposition 1 from the Appendix and Proposition 3, we get the following result.

Proposition 4. For any operator A

$$\|C(A)\| \leqslant 2S(W(A)). \tag{8}$$

For some operators estimate (8) may be sharpened.

Proposition 5. Let A have elliptical numerical range. Then

$$\|C(A)\| \leqslant \frac{4}{\pi} S(W(A)). \tag{9}$$

Proof. As both the self-commutator and the area of the numerical range are translation invariant, we may suppose that the centre of the ellipse coincides with the origin of the coordinate system. Recall now Proposition 3 and Example 2 (formula (16)) from the Appendix.

3 Examples

Example 1. Let the operator A be the tensor product of $a, b \in H$, i.e $Ax = \langle x, a\rangle\, b$. To avoid triviality, we exclude the case of $a = \theta$ and $b = \theta$. We intend to calculate the norm of the self-commutator of this operator. If a and b are parallel, the A is normal, hence its self-commutator is equal to zero. This possibility will be also ruled out. Evidently $\|A\| = \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$.

As $C(\lambda A) = |\lambda|^2 C(A)$ then without loss of generality we may assume that $\|A\| = 1$, hence $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$. Then $A^*Ax = \langle x, a\rangle a = P_a x$, $AA^*x = \langle x, b\rangle b = P_b x$, where $P_\bullet$ is the operator of the orthogonal projection onto the subspace, generated by $\bullet$. Thus

$$(A^*A - AA^*)x = (P_a - P_b)x.$$

Recall that the norm of $\|P_a - P_b\|$ is said to be the opening of two subspaces, generated by a and b respectively. Denote by $\bigvee ab$ the linear span of a and b. We get the following result.

Proposition 1.

$$\|P_a - P_b\| = \|x\| \sqrt{1 - |\langle a, b\rangle|^2}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let $\{a_k\}_1^n$ be a set of linear independent elements from H and G be their Gram matrix. It is known (the Generalized Ostrowski's inequality, Varošanec [2004]) that Glazman and Ljubič [2006], ch. III, 93

$$\|x\|^2 \geq \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} g_{ik}^{-1} \langle x, a_i\rangle \langle a_k, x\rangle, \tag{11}$$

where g_{ik}^{-1} are the elements of the matrix G^{-1}. The equality is attained if and only if x belongs to the linear span of $\{a_k\}_1^n$.

For $n = 2$ we get

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle a, b\rangle \\ \langle b, a\rangle & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - |\langle a, b\rangle|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\langle a, b\rangle \\ -\langle b, a\rangle & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x\|^2 \geqslant \left\|P_{\bigvee ab} x\right\|^2 = \frac{1}{1 - |\langle a, b\rangle|^2} \times \\ &\times \left(|\langle x, a\rangle|^2 - \langle a, b\rangle \langle x, a\rangle \langle b, x\rangle - \langle b, a\rangle \langle x, b\rangle \langle a, x\rangle + |\langle x, b\rangle|^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

As the expression in the parentheses is equal to $\|\langle x, a\rangle a - \langle x, b\rangle b\|^2$ we have

$$\|P_a x - P_b x\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \sqrt{1 - |\langle a, b\rangle|^2},$$

implying (10).

Dragomir Dragomir [2007] proved that for any operator A the following inequality

$$\|A\|^2 - w^2(A) \leqslant m^2(A)$$

is satisfied. It is easy to see that for considered above operator a more accurate inequality

$$\|A\|^2 - w^2(A) \leqslant C(A) \tag{13}$$

takes place.

Conjecture 1. For any Hilbert space operator A inequality (13) is satisfied.

Example 2. Let A be arbitrary operator, acting in a two dimensional space. It may be reduced to the Schur's upper triangular form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_3 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that the numerical range of a 2×2 matrix is an elliptical disk (Halmos [1982], ch. 22) having as foci two eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ and

$$\left\{tr(A^*A) - |\lambda_1|^2 - |\lambda_2|^2\right\}^{1/2}$$

as minor axis $2b$.

We have $b = |\lambda_3|/2$, the distance between foci is $2c = |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|$, hence major axis is $2a = \sqrt{|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_3|^2}$. Easy calculations show that

$$A^*A - AA^* = \begin{pmatrix} -|\lambda_3|^2 & \lambda_3\left(\overline{\lambda}_1 - \overline{\lambda}_2\right) \\ \overline{\lambda}_3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) & |\lambda_3|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The norm of this operator is equal to $|\lambda_3|\sqrt{|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_3|^2}$ or $4ab = 4S/\pi$, where S is the area of the ellipse-the numerical range of A.

Example 3. Let A be the SOR iteration matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\omega) I_p & \omega M \\ \omega(1-\omega) M^T & (1-\omega) I_q + \omega^2 M^T M \end{pmatrix},$$

where $0 < \omega < 2$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $p \geqslant q$ and I is the identity matrix. Golub and de Pillis showed in Kincaid and Hayes [1990] that A is unitary equivalent to

the matrix
$diag\left\{M_1, M_2, \cdots, M_q, (1-\omega) I_{p-q}\right\}$, where $M_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$.

Evidently $C(A) = diag\left\{C(M_1), C(M_2), \cdots, C(M_q), 0\right\}$ and $\|C(A)\| = \max \|C(M_k)\|$. As $W(A) = ch\left\{W(M_1), W(M_2), \cdots, W(M_q), 1-\omega\right\}$ and $1-\omega \in W(M_k), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant q$, we get

$$\|C(A)\| \leqslant \frac{4}{\pi} S(W(A)).$$

Example 4. Let D be the Dirichlet space of the functions, analytic in the open unit circle and having finite norm

$$\|f\|^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint\limits_{x^2+y^2<1} |f'|^2 dxdy$$

and $\phi = \phi(z,a) = \frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}, |a| < 1$ be the Möbius function. Denote by C_ϕ the composition operator, induced by ϕ, i.e. $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$. It is known Abdollahi [2008] that $\left\|C_\phi^* C_\phi - C_\phi C_\phi^*\right\| = \sqrt{L^2+4L}$, where $L = -\ln\left(1-|a|^2\right)$. The closure of the numerical range of C_ϕ is an ellipse with foci ± 1 and with the major axis length $\sqrt{4+L}$. The minor axis length is $2b = \sqrt{L}$, therefore the area of the ellipse is $S = \frac{\pi}{4} 2a2b = \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{L^2+4L}$ and $\left\|C_\phi^* C_\phi - C_\phi C_\phi^*\right\| = 4S/\pi$.

Example 5. Let A be a tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b & \lambda & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that for the self-commutator $C = C(A)$ all the elements are equal to zero, except $C_{11} = -C_{nn} = |b|^2 - |a|^2$, implying $\|C\| = \left||a|^2 - |b|^2\right|$.

The numerical range $W(A-\lambda I)$ is Eiermann [1993] the ellipse, defined by the formula $z = (ae^{-it} + be^{it}) \cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}, t \in [0, 2\pi]$. As the semi-axes of this ellipse are $(|a|+|b|)\cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}$, $||a|-|b||\cos\frac{\pi}{n+1}$, the area S of the ellipse is $S = \pi\left||a|^2 - |b|^2\right|\cos^2\frac{\pi}{n+1}$. Using the inequality $\cos^2\frac{\pi}{n+1} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$ for $n \geqslant 2$, we get finally (9).

Example 6. Consider the Volterra integration operator in $L^2(0;1)$ defined by the formula

$$(Vf)(x) = \int_0^x f(t)\,dt.$$

Recall (Halmos [1982], Problem 165) that $W(V)$ is bounded by the curve

$$t \mapsto \frac{1-\cos t}{t^2} \pm i\frac{t-\sin t}{t^2},\ 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi.$$

The area of $W(V)$ may be calculated by the formula

$$S = \int_0^{2\pi} xdy - ydx = \frac{1}{6}Si(2\pi) + \frac{1}{12\pi} \approx 0.26288441987\cdots,$$

where Si is the integral sine function

$$Si(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t}dt.$$

We get $\frac{4}{\pi}S \approx 0.33471483907\cdots$ On the other hand $\|C(V)\| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \approx 0.288675134...$

Conjecture 2. For any Hilbert space operator A inequality (9) is satisfied.

4 Appendix

Let G be a convex compact figure in the plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $S(G)-$ the area of G. Denote $k = \{\cos t; \sin t\}, j = \{-\sin t; \cos t\}, t \in [0; 2\pi)$ and let $w_k(G)$, $(w_j(G))$ be the width of G in the direction of k (j). Denote by d the diameter of $G, l(d)-$ the length of d. According to (Lyusternik [1966], 11, Properties 1-6) there exist two line segments d and h connecting points of the boundary of G, the directions of which are the directions of greatest and least width, perpendicular to the supporting lines drawn through their endpoints.

Proposition 1. For any G the following inequality is satisfied

$$\inf_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \{w_j(G)\, w_k(G)\} \leqslant 2S(G). \tag{14}$$

Proof. We prove that the inequality above is satisfied for a particular value of t. Let t_0 be chosen in a such way that j has the direction of the least width h. Denote by n and s two extremities of h and by e and $w-$ farthest from h points of G lying in the different half-planes, separated by h. The quadrilateral Q with vertices $nesw$ is a subset of G hence $S(Q) \leqslant S(G)$. On the other hand $w_j(G) w_k(G) = w_j(Q) w_k(Q) = 2S(Q)$, completing the proof.

For any convex set G

$$1 \leqslant \frac{1}{S(G)} \inf_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \{w_j(G) w_k(G)\} \leqslant 2. \tag{15}$$

The lower bound is attained for a rectangle. The following example shows that the upper bound is also exact.

Example 1. Consider the equilateral triangle with the summits $z_k = \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi k}{3}\right), k = 0, 1, 2$. By symmetry it is sufficient to consider the case $0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{\pi}{6}$. We have $w_k w_j = 3\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + t\right)\cos t$. The minimum of this product is $\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and is attained at the endpoints of mentioned above segment.

For particular convex sets the constant 2 may be diminished.

Example 2. Let G be the ellipse, defined by the canonical equation $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$. Then (Bourdon and Shapiro [2000], Proposition 2.3) $w_k(t) = 2\sqrt{a^2\cos^2 t + b\sin^2 t}$,$w_j(t) = 2\sqrt{a^2\sin^2 t + b^2\cos^2 t}$. Thus

$$\min_{t\in[0;2\pi)} \{w_k(G) w_j(G)\} = 4ab = \frac{4}{\pi} S(G). \tag{16}$$

This estimate holds also for the particular case - a disk. For any figure of the constant width the ratio (15) (according to Blaschke-Lebesgue theorem, Gruber [1983]) lies between two extremal values $4/\pi \approx 1.273239545\cdots$ and $\frac{2}{\pi-\sqrt{3}} \approx 1.418900762\cdots$, which is the ratio for the Reuleaux triangle.

References

A. Abdollahi. Self-commutators of automorphic composition operators on the Dirichlet space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(9):3185–3193, 2008. ISSN 0002-9939. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09299-X. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09299-X.

Paul S. Bourdon and Joel H. Shapiro. The numerical ranges of automorphic composition operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 251(2):839–854, 2000. ISSN 0022-247X. doi: 10.1006/jmaa.2000.7072. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7072.

Sever S. Dragomir. A survey of some recent inequalities for the norm and numerical radius of operators in Hilbert spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 1 (2):154–175, 2007. ISSN 1735-8787.

Michael Eiermann. Fields of values and iterative methods. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 180:167–197, 1993. ISSN 0024-3795. doi: 10.1016/0024-3795(93)90530-2. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(93)90530-2.

C. K. Fong. Norm estimates related to self-commutators. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 74:151–156, 1986. ISSN 0024-3795. doi: 10.1016/0024-3795(86)90118-7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(86)90118-7.

Levon Gevorgyan. On operators with large self-commutators. *Oper. Matrices*, 4(1):119–125, 2010. ISSN 1846-3886. doi: 10.7153/oam-04-05. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/oam-04-05.

I. M. Glazman and Ju. I. Ljubič. *Finite-dimensional linear analysis*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. ISBN 0-486-45332-4. A systematic presentation in problem form, Translated from the Russian and edited by G. P. Barker and G. Kuerti, Reprint of the 1974 edition.

Peter Gruber. *Convexity and its applications*. Birkhauser, Basel Switzerland Boston, 1983. ISBN 9783764313845.

Paul R. Halmos. Commutators of operators. *Amer. J. Math.*, 74:237–240, 1952. ISSN 0002-9327.

Paul Richard Halmos. *A Hilbert space problem book*, volume 19 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1982. ISBN 0-387-90685-1. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 17.

David R. Kincaid and Linda J. Hayes, editors. *Iterative methods for large linear systems*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. ISBN 0-12-407475-8. Papers from the conference held at the University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, October 19–21, 1988.

L. A. Lyusternik. *Convex figures and polyhedra*. Translated and adapted from the first Russian edition (1956) by Donald L. Barnett. D. C. Heath and Co., Boston, Mass., 1966.

C. R. Putnam. *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related topics*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 36. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967.

Sanja Varošanec. History, generalizations and unified treatment of two Ostrowski's inequalities. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(2):Article 23, 9 pp.(electronic), 2004. ISSN 1443-5756.

Yue-Qing Wang and Hong-Ke Du. Norms of commutators of self-adjoint operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 342(1):747–751, 2008. ISSN 0022-247X. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.12.005. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.12.005.